

Year losing time up for gearing?

dollar drain on the budget and most without it, writes **Shane Wright**.

ernment's wide-ranging
ul of the tax system.
g tax shelters such as
re gearing would enable a
ning of the tax base.

\$55 million expected to be
in the first year after the
would help pay for a cut in
al income tax.

government cannot
to tolerate a situation in
the general body of
ers effectively subsidises

roperty investments of a
lar group of, usually, high-
e taxpayers," Keating
ed to the cabinet.

years later he was back
the cabinet where negative
g was reinstated.

claimed revenue had not
alised. Instead of \$55 million
mmonwealth had collected
lion.



ating described
gative gearing as
'outrageous rort'.

estors avoided the financial
caused by the end of negative
ng by using other tax devices.
its climbed in Sydney (and to
er extent in Perth) although
were stable in other capitals.
en Liberal leader John
rd had argued that axing
ive gearing was "done in the
of levelling the tall poppies"

The debate around negative
gearing quietened until the release
of the Howard government's
response to the Ralph review of
business taxation in 1999.

It had advocated a cut in capital
gains tax, arguing that to do so
would "enliven and invigorate"
equity markets, encourage people
to invest in shares and more
broadly "achieve a better
allocation of the nation's capital
resources".

The Howard government
followed the advice, slicing capital
gains tax.

But within two years of that
concession coming into operation,
something had happened to the
rental market.

Whereas landlords had been
"positively geared" ahead of the
change, the size of losses exploded
with investors moving their cash
into the property market to chase
capital gains.

Total rent collections turned
negative in 2001-02. They have not
been positive since, reaching a
record \$10.8 billion in 2008-09.

Losses have fallen but they are
still a multibillion-dollar drain on
the overall federal budget.

Tax Office figures show a
substantial difference between
landlords who are negatively
geared and those who earn a
positive return on their rental
properties.

In 2015-16, the most recent year
for available data, there were close
to 3 million rental properties
around the country. Of those,
1.8 million lost money.

The single largest expense
claimed against the rent on a
property is interest.

Of the 1.2 million positively
geared properties there was
\$3.6 billion in interest claimed



Buy and hold is the plan

Cate Bakos, 44, of Yarraville, owns more than 20 investment properties, mostly residential houses in Victoria but some interstate. Her properties in Melbourne are negatively geared.

"I'm a buy-and-hold investor, I'm not an investor who plans to sell. My plan to retire my debt is over time because I started early, so I got the benefit of being able to hold my assets, and I won't be selling in the foreseeable future.

Anyone who is planning on flipping - selling to make a profit - or anyone who finds themselves in a situation where they may have to sell could

justifiably be nervous about paying more tax on the sale and giving up some of that gain.

But at the end of the day you're only taxed on your gain, so anyone who is selling and making a loss isn't going to be negatively impacted by that change, obviously the negative impact is making a loss in the first place.

[Bill Shorten] certainly has made it obvious that [addressing negative gearing] is on his agenda so it would be silly of any of us to believe that it won't happen, but we can't bank on it happening immediately.

Amounts claimed by negative gearing landlords, 2015-16



The interaction between
negative gearing and the capital
gains tax concession has roused the
interest of the Reserve Bank.

As early as 2003, it used a
submission to a Productivity
Commission inquiry into first home
ownership to note its interest in the
effect of negative gearing on the
property market.

The Reserve Bank argued
property promoters were selling
the tax advantages of negative
gearing for real estate so that
"resources and finance are being
disproportionately channelled into

when, as Labor's new shadow
treasurer, he suggested a change to
negative gearing during an ABC
Lateline interview in 2003.

The Henry tax review of 2010,
while not advocating an end to
negative gearing, made clear it
needed to change.

Its research found highly
indebted investors were being
delivered a huge tax advantage
that was ultimately footed by
ordinary taxpayers.

A more "neutral" tax treatment
around property, which included a
change to the capital gains tax

1.3 million
Total individuals with
negatively geared property,
2015-16

\$3.6b
Mortgage interest claimed
by 816,000 positive/neutral
landlords, 2015-16

+250%
Increase in number of people
negatively gearing residential
property, 1994-2014.

investment, particularly in
housing".

The tax system was funnelling
problems into a housing market
that itself was a significant source
of risk to both the nation's banks
and the Australian economy.

It was around this time that
shadow treasurer Chris Bowen
started work on Labor's policy with
a primary aim of raising revenue to
deal with the budget bottom line.

Housing affordability was also
part of the picture as he and senior
members of the ALP frontbench
worked through options.

would be paid for by overhauling
tax concessions.

"In that framework, negative
gearing should be skewed towards
new housing so that there is an
incentive to add to the housing
stock rather than an incentive to
speculate on existing property," he
said.

Labor announced its policy in
early 2016. While the government
went hard opposing the policy,
even then treasurer Scott
Morrison conceded there were
"excesses" around negative
gearing.

gearing and the capital gains tax
concession is a toxic elixir," he
says.

The government is standing by
negative gearing.

Treasurer Josh Frydenberg says
the policy prescription of Labor
will prove devastating for the
property market and to small-time
mum-and-dad investors who may
have one or two properties.

"Labor's policy will make sure
that people who own their home
will see the value of their home be
less, and fall, and if they rent their
home, their rent will go up as a
result of Labor's policy," he

The risk that bo will so

Melissa Heagney

Simon Beasley is a Melbo
maker taking a punt on
property market.

He and wife Merrie sol
ury South Yarra apa
November, and are now
the hopes of buying bac
cheaply as Melbourne's
prices slump.

And they're not alone
past 12 months as prices
property speculators l
gambling on the market,
fore it gets worse and ren
for the bargains.

Experts are urging
those trying to cash in as
slows and home loans tig

Director of Wakelin Pr
visory Jarrod McCabe
owners have been look
market closely to see if
take advantage of the co

"There's definitely be
that, particularly earlier
selling and hoping they
peak and then waiting for
to fall," Mr McCabe said

Mr McCabe said this s
risky because it was har
the property market
might be six months aft
ket troughs before any
that it has hit the botto
take time to emerge.

Financial adviser and
ProSolution Private Cli
Wemyss agreed, saying
of his clients were "cons
thinking about" trying
market, he advised again
ularly as it was too har
exactly what would hap
bourne's property marl
next few years.

"It's too high risk and
against them signific
Wemyss said.

People with large
could end up selling fo
expected, before payin
price for their next pro
market turns in the me

Adding to market
McCabe said upgraders
loan for their next pro